

THE FIVE HUNDRED SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF THE FIVE HUNDRED SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION AND/OR THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL,
MELISSA SIEGEL, AT 212-310-8054.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Jacqueline Marcus

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*, : 08-13555 (SCC)
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON FIVE HUNDRED SIXTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 31, 2015, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., in its capacity as Plan Administrator (the "Plan Administrator") under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for

certain entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, filed its five hundred sixth omnibus objection to claims (the “Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims”), and that a hearing (the “Hearing”) to consider the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable Shelley C. Chapman, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 621 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **September 9, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-399, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable Shelley C. Chapman, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 621; (ii) attorneys for the Plan Administrator, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Jacqueline Marcus, Esq.); and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Suite 1006, New York, New York 10014 (Attn: William K. Harrington, Esq., Susan Golden, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **August 31, 2015 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the “Response Deadline”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Plan Administrator may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: July 31, 2015
New York, New York

/s/ Jacqueline Marcus
Jacqueline Marcus
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Jacqueline Marcus

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : **Chapter 11 Case No.**
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : **08-13555 (SCC)**
:
Debtors. : **(Jointly Administered)**
-----X

**FIVE HUNDRED SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
TO CLAIMS (INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED
PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS FIVE HUNDRED SIXTH
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD REVIEW THE OBJECTION TO SEE
IF THEIR NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OBJECTION
AND/OR THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS
OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL,
MELISSA SIEGEL, AT 212-310-8054.**

TO THE HONORABLE SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI”), in its capacity as Plan Administrator (the “Plan Administrator”) under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”) for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “Chapter 11 Estates”), respectfully represents as follows:

Relief Requested

1. The Plan Administrator files this omnibus objection, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases (the “Procedures Order”) [ECF No. 6664], seeking disallowance and expungement of the claims asserted against LB Rose Ranch LLC (“LBRR”) that are listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto.

2. The proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A (collectively, the “Insufficient Documentation Claims”) violate this Court’s July 2, 2009 order setting forth the procedures and deadlines for filing proofs of claim in these chapter 11 cases (the “Bar Date Order”) [ECF No. 4271], as they were submitted with insufficient documentation and, to date, the claimants have failed to cure the deficiencies. The Insufficient Documentation Claims do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims, and the Plan Administrator requests they be disallowed and expunged in their entirety.

3. The Plan Administrator reserves all rights to object on any other basis to any Insufficient Documentation Claim as to which the relief requested herein is not granted.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter (as applicable, the “Commencement Date”), LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. LBRR filed its chapter 11 petition on February 9, 2009.

6. On July 2, 2009, this Court entered the Bar Date Order, which requires, among other things, that “each Proof of Claim must: . . . (vi) include supporting documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is not available.” (Bar Date Order at 6.) The supporting documentation requirement was specifically set forth on the face of the Court-approved proof of claim form. (*Id.* at Exhibit B.) Furthermore, the Bar Date Order provides that “any holder of a claim against the Debtors who is required, but fails to file a proof of such claim in accordance with the Bar Date Order on or before the Bar Date . . . specifying the applicable Debtor and other requirements set forth herein, shall forever be barred, estopped, and enjoined from asserting such claim against the Debtors (or filing a Proof of Claim with respect thereto).” (*Id.* at 9-10). A copy of the Bar Date Order was made publicly available at <http://www.lehman-docket.com>.

7. Claimants also received notice of the Bar Date Order by mail. (*See* Notice of Deadlines for Filing Proofs of Claim (the “Bar Date Notice”).) In the Bar Date Notice, which also was published in The New York Times (International Edition), The Wall Street Journal (International Edition), and The Financial Times, claimants were specifically instructed that “[i]f

you file a Proof of Claim, your filed Proof of Claim must: . . . (vi) include supporting documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is not available.” (Bar Date Notice at 4.)

8. Claimants who filed a proof of claim prior to entry of the Bar Date Order were instructed that they need not file a new claim if their proof of claim substantially conformed to the Court-approved proof of claim form, which form clearly set forth the requirement that claimants provide supporting documentation with their claim form or an explanation as to why such documentation is unavailable. (*Id.* at 2.) The Bar Date Notice also prominently stated in bold-face type that **“any creditor who fails to file a Proof of Claim in accordance with the Bar Date Order on or before the Bar Date . . . specifying the applicable Debtor and other requirements set forth in the Bar Date Order, for any claim such creditor holds or wishes to assert against the Debtors, will be forever barred, estopped, and enjoined from asserting such claim (and from filing a Proof of Claim with respect to such claim).”** (*Id.* at 6 (emphasis in original)).

9. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the Chapter 11 Estates, among other things, to file omnibus objections to up to 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

10. On December 6, 2011, the Court approved and entered an order confirming the Plan [ECF No. 23023]. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012.

11. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.

The Insufficient Documentation Claims Should Be Disallowed and Expunged

12. In reviewing the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, the Plan Administrator has identified the claims on Exhibit A as claims that should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that they do not include sufficient supporting documentation. The Insufficient Documentation Claims, therefore, do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims.

13. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim’s essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

14. The Bar Date Order specifically requires that “each Proof of Claim ***must***: . . . (vi) include supporting documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is not available.” (Bar Date Order at 6 (emphasis added).) This requirement for proofs of claim is not a unique one. Indeed, this Court and others in the Southern District of New York have entered similar orders requiring that proofs of claim include supporting documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is unavailable. (*See In re Finlay Enterprises, Inc.*, No. 09-14873 (JMP) (Peck, J.), Oct. 20, 2009 Order [ECF No. 316] at 6; *see also In re AGT Crunch Acquisition LLC, et al.*, No. 09-12889 (REG) (Gerber, J.), Oct. 14, 2009 Order [ECF No. 554] at 2-3.) The Bankruptcy Rules’ official proof of claim form also includes this standard requirement.

15. Claimants were specifically provided notice of the Bar Date Order’s supporting documentation requirement via the Bar Date Notice. The Bar Date Notice included instructions on how to complete the proof of claim forms and a warning that failure to comply

with those instructions would result in claims being barred. (*See* Bar Date Notice at 4, 6.)

Claimants were also notified that they needed to submit new proofs of claim if any claims submitted prior to the Bar Date Order did not substantially conform to the Court-approved proof of claim form, which clearly set forth the supporting documentation requirement. (*See id.* at 2.) Nevertheless, the Insufficient Documentation Claims were submitted without the required supporting documentation.

16. Each of the Insufficient Documentation Claims asserts that LBRR is liable, jointly or severally, with Ironbridge Homes LLC (“Ironbridge Homes”), for alleged soil-related damage to real property located in Glenwood Springs, Colorado, pursuant to a warranty issued by Ironbridge Homes. However, none of the claimants that filed an Insufficient Documentation Claim (the “Claimants”) has submitted documentation evidencing (i) any damage that allegedly occurred to his or her property, (ii) a basis upon which LBRR can be held liable for the alleged damages given that LBRR is not a party to the warranty agreement issued by Ironbridge Homes and did not issue a separate warranty to any Claimant, or (iii) any other information that would enable the Plan Administrator to evaluate the merits of their respective claims. Indeed, the Claimants have put forth no sufficient evidence—documentary or otherwise—to support their claims.

17. Given that the Insufficient Documentation Claims fail to comply with the Bar Date Order’s specific direction that claims include supporting documentation, the Insufficient Documentation Claims do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims. Consequently, the Plan Administrator requests that the Court disallow and expunge in their entirety the Insufficient Documentation Claims listed on Exhibit A.

Notice

18. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. Notice of this Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims has been provided to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) each of the Claimants; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

19. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan Administrator or the Chapter 11 Estates to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests entry of an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: July 31, 2015
New York, New York

/s/ Jacqueline Marcus
Jacqueline Marcus
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

EXHIBIT A

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 506: EXHIBIT A - INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTS

NAME	CASE NUMBER	DEBTOR NAME	FILED DATE	CLAIM #	ASSERTED TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	AMOUNTS TO BE DISALLOWED	REASON FOR PROPOSED DISALLOWANCE
1 CUNY-STEELE, JENNIFER & EAN	09-10560 (SCC)	LB Rose Ranch LLC	09/22/2009	31953	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	Insufficient Documents
2 FINKELSTEIN, MARTIN & FRAZIER, SUSAN	09-10560 (SCC)	LB Rose Ranch LLC	09/22/2009	32189	Undetermined	Undetermined	Insufficient Documents
3 NEW, B.	09-10560 (SCC)	LB Rose Ranch LLC	09/22/2009	32041	Undetermined	Undetermined	Insufficient Documents
4 VERHEUL	09-10560 (SCC)	LB Rose Ranch LLC	09/22/2009	31203	Undetermined	Undetermined	Insufficient Documents
5 WHITMORE	09-10560 (SCC)	LB Rose Ranch LLC	09/22/2009	31958	Undetermined	Undetermined	Insufficient Documents

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
 :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*, : 08-13555 (SCC)
 :
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**ORDER GRANTING FIVE HUNDRED SIXTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION CLAIMS)**

Upon the five hundred sixth omnibus objection to claims, dated June 5, 2015 (the “Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims”),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., in its capacity as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [ECF No. 6664], seeking to disallow and expunge the Insufficient Documentation Claims, all as more fully described in the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of the Chapter 11 Estates, their creditors, and all parties in interest, and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims.

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto are disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to any claim listed on Exhibit A annexed to the Five Hundred Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims that is not listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2015
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE